arXiv:1603.09092v1 [math.PR] 30 Mar 2016

The distribution of refracted Lévy processes with jumps having rational Laplace transforms

Jiang Zhou*, Lan Wu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R.China

Abstract

We consider a refracted jump diffusion process having two-sided jumps with rational Laplace transforms. For such a process, by applying a straightforward but interesting approach, we derive formulas for the Laplace transform of its distribution. Our formulas are presented in an attractive form and the approach is novel. In particular, the idea in the application of an approximating procedure is remarkable. Besides, the results are used to price Variable Annuities with state-dependent fees.

Keywords: Refracted Lévy process; Rational Laplace transform; Wiener-Hopf factorization; Continuity theorem; Variable Annuities; State-dependent fees;

1. Introduction

A refracted Lévy process $U = (U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is derived from a Lévy process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and is described by the following equation (see [13]):

$$U_t = X_t - \delta \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U_s > b\}} ds, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\delta, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator function of a set A. There are several papers investigating refracted Lévy processes, where the three papers [13,14,20] are based on the assumption that X in (1.1) has negative jumps only; and in [23], the process X is assumed to be a double-exponential jump diffusion process. Many results, including formulas for occupation times of U, have been obtained, and the interested reader is referred to the above papers for the details. Besides, in [22,24,25], under several different assumptions on X, we have considered the following similar process $U^s = (U_t^s)_{t\geq 0}$:

$$dU_t^s = dX_t - \delta \mathbf{1}_{\{U_t^s < b\}} dt. \tag{1.2}$$

*Corresponding author.
Email addresses: 1101110056@pku.edu.cn (Jiang Zhou), lwu@pku.edu.cn (Lan Wu)

For the process U in (1.1) with X given by (2.1) (see below), we will show that $\mathbb{P}(U_t = b) = 0$ for Lebesgue almost every $t > 0$ (see Remark 4.1), which means that $U_t = X_t - \delta t - (-\delta)\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U_s<b\}} ds$ and thus the two processes U^s and U are equal essentially.

In this paper, we are interested in the distribution of U. When the process X_t in (1.1) is a Lévy process without positive jumps, the corresponding results can be found in [13]; see Theorem 6 (iv) in that paper. Thus here we focus on the situation that X has both positive and negative jumps. In specific, we assume that X in (1.1) is a jump diffusion process and its jumps have probability density functions whose Laplace transforms are rational functions. Such a Lévy process is very popular and quite general, and two particular examples of it are a hyper-exponential jump diffusion process (see [5,6]) and a Lévy process with phase-type jumps (see [2,19]). Under the above assumption on X, the purpose of this paper is to derive expressions for $\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P(U_t < y)\, dt$ or in differential form

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P(U_t \in dy)\, dt, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $q > 0$. One reason why we are interested in the above quantity is that it is closely related to occupation times of U since

$$q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} E\left[\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U_s<y\}} ds\right] dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P(U_t < y) dt, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

which can be derived by applying integration by parts. This means that the occupation times of U, i.e., $\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{U_s<y\}} ds$, can be derived from (1.3).

In [22], under the same assumption on X as in this paper, we have derived formulas for $\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P(U_t^s < b)\, dt$, where U^s and b are given by (1.2). In this article, for given b in (1.1), we combine the ideas in [22] with a novel and helpful approximating discussion to calculate $\int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P(U_t < y)\, dt$, where $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Particularly, we obtain some attractive and uncommon formulas, which are written in terms of positive and negative Wiener-Hopf factors. These extraordinary expressions are important and are conjectured to hold for a general Lévy process X and the corresponding solution U to (1.1), providing that such a solution U exists.

Results in this paper have some applications. One application is to price equity-linked investment products or Variable Annuities with state-dependent fees as in [24]. Such a state-dependent fee charging method is proposed recently and has several advantages (see [4,7]), e.g., it can reduce the incentive for a policyholder to surrender the policy. Equity-linked products are popular life insurance contracts and one reason for their popularity is that they typically provide a guaranteed minimum return. There are many papers studying Equity-linked products and their pricing, see, e.g., [10,15,18]. Investigations on evaluating Equity-linked products under a state-dependent fee structure are relatively new and the reader is referred to [17] for a recent work.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notations and some preliminary results are introduced. Next, we present an im-

portant proposition in Section 3 and give the main results in Section 4. Finally, the application of our main results is discussed in Section 5.

2. Notations and preliminary results

In this paper, the process $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in (1.1) is a jump diffusion process, where its jumps have rational Laplace transforms. Specifically,

$$X_t = X_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t + \sum_{k=1}^{N_t^+} Z_k^+ - \sum_{k=1}^{N_t^-} Z_k^-, \tag{2.1}$$

where X_0, μ and $\sigma > 0$ are constants; $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a standard Brownian motion; $\sum_{k=1}^{N_t^+} Z_k^+$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{N_t^-} Z_k^-$ are compound Poisson processes with intensity λ^+ and λ^-, respectively; and the density functions of Z_1^+ and Z_1^- are given respectively by

$$p^+(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{m^+}\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} c_{kj}\frac{(\eta_k)^j z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}e^{-\eta_k z}, \quad z > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$p^-(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n^-}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} d_{kj}\frac{(\vartheta_k)^j z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}e^{-\vartheta_k z}, \quad z > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

with $\eta_i \neq \eta_j$ and $\vartheta_i \neq \vartheta_j$ for $i \neq j$; moreover, $(W_t)_{t\geq 0}$, $\sum_{k=1}^{N_t^+} Z_k^+$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{N_t^-} Z_k^-$ are independent mutually.

Remark 2.1. *Parameters η_k and c_{kj} in (2.2) can take complex values as long as $p^+(z)$ satisfies $p^+(z) \geq 0$ and $\int_0^\infty p^+(z)dz = 1$. In addition, if η_1 has the smallest real part among $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{m^+}$, then $0 < \eta_1 < Re(\eta_2) \leq \cdots \leq Re(\eta_{m^+})$.*

Remark 2.2. *Formula (2.2) is quite general and particularly it contains phase-type distributions. Thus from Proposition 1 in [2], we know that for any given Lévy process X, there is a sequence of X^n with the form of (2.1) such that*

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|X_s^n - X_s| = 0, \quad \textit{almost surely.}$$

In what follows, the law of X starting from x is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_x$ with $\mathbb{E}_x$ denoting the corresponding expectation; when $x = 0$, we write $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{E}$ for convenience. And as usual, for $T \geq 0$, define

$$\underline{X}_T := \inf_{0\leq t\leq T} X_t \ \textit{ and } \ \overline{X}_T := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} X_t. \tag{2.4}$$

Throughout this article, for given $q > 0$, $e(q)$ is an exponential random variable, whose expectation is equal to $\frac{1}{q}$. Besides, $e(q)$ is assumed to be independent of all stochastic processes appeared in the paper. In addition, for a complex value x, let $Re(x)$ and $Im(x)$ represent its real part and imaginary part, respectively.

For the Lévy process X given by (2.1), it has been shown that equation (1.1) has a unique strong solution $U = (U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (see, e.g., Theorem 305 of [21]), which is a strong Markov process (see Remark 3 in [13]). For this unique solution U, our objective is deriving the expression of (1.3), i.e.,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t \in dy\right) dt, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},$$

and more importantly, we try to derive some novel expression.

Similar to previous investigations on refracted Lévy processes (see, e.g., [13]), for given $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce a process Y, which is defined as $Y = \{Y_t = X_t - \delta t; t \geq 0\}$. For the process Y, the two quantities $\underline{Y}_T$ and $\overline{Y}_T$ are defined similarly to (2.4). What is more, we denote by $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_y$ the law of Y such that $Y_0 = y$ and by $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_y$ the corresponding expectation, and write shortly $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ if $y = 0$.

The following Lemma 2.1 gives the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z) := &-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}z^2 + iz\mu + \lambda^+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m^+}\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} c_{kj}\left(\frac{\eta_k}{\eta_k - iz}\right)^j - 1\right)\\ &+ \lambda^-\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n^-}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} d_{kj}\left(\frac{\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k + iz}\right)^j - 1\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and $\hat{\psi}(z) := \psi(z) - i\delta z$. Note that if $z \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\psi(z) := \ln\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{izX_1}\right]\right)$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) := \ln\left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{izY_1}\right]\right)$. Lemma 2.1 has been developed in [16]; see Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.1 in that paper (note that $\sigma > 0$ here).

Lemma 2.1. *(i) For $q > 0$, the equation $\psi(z) = q$ ($\hat{\psi}(z) = q$) has, in the set $Im(z) < 0$, a total of $M^+(\hat{M}^+)$ distinct solutions $-i\beta_1$ ($-i\hat{\beta}_1$), $-i\beta_2$ ($-i\hat{\beta}_2$), ..., $-i\beta_{M^+}$ ($-i\hat{\beta}_{\hat{M}^+}$), with respective multiplicities $M_1 = 1(\hat{M}_1 = 1)$, $M_2(\hat{M}_2)$, ..., $M_{M^+}(\hat{M}_{\hat{M}^+})$. Moreover,*

$$0 < \beta_1 < Re(\beta_2) \leq \cdots \leq Re(\beta_{M^+}), \;\; 0 < \hat{\beta}_1 < Re(\hat{\beta}_2) \leq \cdots \leq Re(\hat{\beta}_{\hat{M}^+}), \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M^+} M_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{M}_k = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} m_k. \tag{2.7}$$

(ii) For $q > 0$ and $s \geq 0$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{m^+}\left(\frac{s+\eta_k}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k}\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{s+\hat{\beta}_k}\right)^{\hat{M}_k}, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{m^+}\left(\frac{s+\eta_k}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k}\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\left(\frac{\beta_k}{s+\beta_k}\right)^{M_k}. \tag{2.9}$$

Next, consider a function $F_1(x)$ on $(0,\infty)$ with the Laplace transform

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1(x)dx = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}-1\right), \quad s>0. \tag{2.10}$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that the Laplace transform of $F_1(x)$ is a rational function, which means that $F_1(x)$ is continuously differentiable on $(0,\infty)$. Since $\hat{M}_1 = 1$ and (2.6) holds, it can be shown that

$$\lim_{x\uparrow\infty}\frac{F_1(x)}{e^{-\hat{\beta}_1 x}} = -\prod_{k=2}^{\hat{M}^+}\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\hat{\beta}_k-\hat{\beta}_1}\right)^{\hat{M}_k}\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\left(\frac{\beta_k-\hat{\beta}_1}{\beta_k}\right)^{M_k}. \tag{2.11}$$

In addition, it holds that

$$F_1(0) := \lim_{x\downarrow 0}F_1(x) = \lim_{s\uparrow\infty}\int_0^\infty se^{-sx}F_1(x)dx = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\left(\hat{\beta}_k\right)^{\hat{M}_k}}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\left(\beta_k\right)^{M_k}}-1. \tag{2.12}$$

Remark 2.3. *The expression of $F_1(x)$ can be obtained easily from (2.8)–(2.10) by using rational expansion, but it is not important in this paper and thus is omitted for brevity.*

Remark 2.4. *Due to (2.11), $F_1(x)$ is absolutely integrable and the Laplace transform of $F_1(x)$ in (2.10) can be extended analytically to the half-plane $Re(s)\geq 0$. When $s=0$, the right-hand side of (2.10) is understood as*

$$\lim_{s\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}-1\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{s+\hat{\beta}_k}\right)^{\hat{M}_k}\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\left(\frac{s+\beta_k}{\beta_k}\right)^{M_k}\right)_{s=0}.$$

Besides, $F_1(x)$ is bounded on $[0,\infty]$ with $F_1(\infty) := \lim_{x\uparrow\infty}F_1(x) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *For the continuous function $F_1(x)$ given by (2.10), it holds that*

$$F_1(x)+1\geq 0, \quad for \quad x>0. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. For $q,s>0$, we know (see, e.g., formula (4) in [1])

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s(\overline{X}_{e(q)}-h)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\overline{X}_{e(q)}>h\}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\tau_h^+-s(X_{\tau_h^+}-h)}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-sX_{e(q)}}\right], \quad h>0, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\tau_h^+ := \inf\{t\geq 0 : X_t > h\}$. Exchanging the order of integration yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s(\overline{X}_{e(q)}-h)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\overline{X}_{e(q)}>h\}}\right]dh &= \int_0^\infty\int_0^x e^{-s(x-h)}dh\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q)}\in dx\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{s}\left(1-\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, on both sides of (2.14), integrating with respect to h from 0 to ∞ gives

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\tau_h^+ - s(X_{\tau_h^+}-h)}\right] dh = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]} - 1\right). \tag{2.15}$$

Formulas (2.10) and (2.15) mean that $\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}(F_1(x)+1)dx$ is a completely monotone function of s on $(0,\infty)$, then (2.13) follows from Theorem 1a on page 439 in [8]. □

The following Lemma 2.3 is taken from Proposition 1 (v) in [11], which states that for almost all $q > 0$, $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$ only have simple solutions. Based on this lemma, we apply an approximating argument (which reduces the calculation in a large extent) to derive the final results.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists only finite numbers of $q > 0$ such that $\psi(z) = q$ or $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$ has solutions of multiplicity greater than one.*

In the following, let $\mathbb{S}$ be the set of $q > 0$ such that all the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$ are simple.

Remark 2.5. *For $q \in \mathbb{S}$, Lemma 2.1 gives $M^+ = \hat{M}^+ = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} m_k$.*

We can obtain Lemma 2.4 by applying Lemma 2.1 to the dual processes $-X_t$ and $-Y_t$.

Lemma 2.4. *(i) For $q \in \mathbb{S}$, the equation $\psi(z) = q$ ($\hat{\psi}(z) = q$) has, in the set $Im(z) > 0$, a total of N^- ($\hat{N}^-$) $= \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} n_k + 1$ distinct simple roots $i\gamma_1$ ($i\hat{\gamma}_1$), $i\gamma_2$ ($i\hat{\gamma}_2$), ..., $i\gamma_{N^-}$ ($i\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{N}^-}$), ordered such that*

$$0 < \gamma_1 < Re(\gamma_2) \le \cdots \le Re(\gamma_{N^-}), \;\; 0 < \hat{\gamma}_1 < Re(\hat{\gamma}_2) \le \cdots \le Re(\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{N}^-}). \tag{2.16}$$

(ii) For $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and $Re(s) \ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\underline{X}_{e(q)}}\right] &= \prod_{k=1}^{n^-}\left(\frac{s+\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k}\right)^{n_k}\prod_{k=1}^{N^-}\left(\frac{\gamma_k}{s+\gamma_k}\right), \\ \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] &= \prod_{k=1}^{n^-}\left(\frac{s+\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k}\right)^{n_k}\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\left(\frac{\hat{\gamma}_k}{s+\hat{\gamma}_k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Remark 2.6. *For $q > 0$ and $q \in \mathbb{S}^c$, a similar result to (2.17) holds, e.g.,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] = \prod_{k=1}^{n^-}\left(\frac{s+\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k}\right)^{n_k}\prod_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}}\left(\frac{\hat{\gamma}_k}{s+\hat{\gamma}_k}\right)^{\hat{N}_k^-}, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\hat{N}_k^-$ is the multiplicity of $\hat{\gamma}_k$; and $\sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}} \hat{N}_k^- = \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} n_k + 1$.

Remark 2.7. *For any $q > 0$, from (2.9), (2.17) and (2.18), it can be concluded that both $\overline{X}_{e(q)}$ and $\underline{Y}_{e(q)}$ have probability density functions.*

The following Lemma 2.5 is important. We remark that the result in Lemma 2.5 is not surprising as $\sigma > 0$ in (2.1), and its proof is omitted since it can be established by using almost the same discussion as in Theorem 2.1 in [24].

Lemma 2.5. *For $q > 0$, the function $V_q(x)$, defined as $V_q(x) := \mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right)$ for given $y > b$, is continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$. Particularly, it holds that*

$$V_q(b-) = V_q(b+) \quad \text{and} \quad V_q'(b-) = V_q'(b+). \tag{2.19}$$

The following Lemma 2.6 is known as the Wiener-Hopf factorization, we refer the reader to Theorem 6.16 in [12] for its derivation.

Lemma 2.6. *For a Lévy process X_t, which is not a compound Poisson process, it holds that $X_{e(q)} - \underline{X}_{e(q)}$ is independent of $\underline{X}_{e(q)}$ and equal in distribution to $\overline{X}_{e(q)}$. This means that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\theta X_{e(q)}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\theta \underline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\theta \overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right],$$

where $Re(\theta) = 0$.

To close this section, we present the following proposition, which gives the expression of

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) = q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right)dt,$$

where $y > b$ and $q \in \mathbb{S}$. The proof of Proposition 2.1 is long, thus it is left to the Appendix.

Proposition 2.1. *For $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and $y > b$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{M^+} J_k e^{\beta_k(x-b)}, & x \le b, \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_k e^{\hat{\beta}_k(x-y)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & b \le x \le y, \\ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{Q}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(y-x)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & x \ge y, \end{cases} \tag{2.20}$$

where $\hat{H}_k$ and $\hat{Q}_k$ are given by (A.17) and (A.18), respectively; J_k and $\hat{P}_k$ are given by rational expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i}{x-\beta_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i}{x+\hat{\gamma}_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i}{x-\hat{\beta}_i}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(x-\eta_k)^{m_k}\prod_{k=1}^{n^-}(x+\vartheta_k)^{n_k}}{\prod_{i=1}^{M^+}(x-\beta_i)\prod_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(x+\hat{\gamma}_i)} \times \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{M^+}(\hat{\beta}_k-\beta_i)\prod_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(\hat{\beta}_k+\hat{\gamma}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m^+}(\hat{\beta}_k-\eta_i)^{m_i}\prod_{i=1}^{n^-}(\hat{\beta}_k+\vartheta_i)^{n_i}}\frac{-\hat{H}_k}{x-\hat{\beta}_k}e^{\hat{\beta}_k(b-y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Remark 2.8. *Formula (2.20) contains the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$, i.e., β_k, $\hat{\beta}_k$ and $\hat{\gamma}_k$. This poses a limitation to extend the result in Proposition 2.1 to a refracted Lévy process U driven by other Lévy process, because we cannot characterize the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ for a general Lévy process X (note that $\psi(z) = \ln\left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{izX_1}\right]\right)$ if $z \in \mathbb{R}$). In Theorem 4.1, we derive another expression for $\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right)$, which is free of β_k, $\hat{\beta}_k$ and $\hat{\gamma}_k$.*

3. An important result

In this section, the following result is derived, and we have to say that the ideas in the derivation are interesting.

Proposition 3.1. *For given $y > b$, $q > 0$ and $Re(\phi) = 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)} > y\right)\right) dx \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi \underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\phi \overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right] \int_0^{\infty} F_1(x+y-b) e^{\phi x} dx.\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In the following, we first show that Proposition 3.1 holds for $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and then prove that it is also valid for $q \in \mathbb{S}^c$.

3.1. Proof of Proposition 3.1 with $q \in \mathbb{S}$

For $Re(\phi) = 0$, it follows from (2.20) and (A.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)} d\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{M^+} \frac{J_i \phi}{\beta_i - \phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{P}_i \phi}{\phi + \hat{\gamma}_i} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i \phi}{\hat{\beta}_i - \phi} e^{\hat{\beta}_i (b-y)} + e^{\phi(b-y)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i \hat{\beta}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i - \phi} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{Q}_i \hat{\gamma}_i}{\hat{\gamma}_i + \phi} \right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It can be proved that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i \hat{\beta}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i - \phi} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{Q}_i \hat{\gamma}_i}{\hat{\gamma}_i + \phi} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i \phi}{\hat{\beta}_i - \phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{Q}_i \phi}{\hat{\gamma}_i + \phi} \\ &= \hat{\psi}^+(-\phi)\hat{\psi}^-(\phi) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi \overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi \underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi Y_{e(q)}}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\hat{\psi}^+(\cdot)$ and $\hat{\psi}^-(\cdot)$ are given by (A.5) and (A.6); the first equality is due to the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{Q}_i - 1 = 0$ (let $\theta \uparrow \infty$ in (A.29)); the second and the third equality follows respectively from (A.29) and (A.7), and the final one is a result of Lemma 2.6.

In addition, for $Re(\phi)=0$, applying integration by parts will give us

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)} d\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right) - e^{\phi(b-y)}\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi Y_{e(q)}}\right] \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)} d\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)} d\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(Y_{e(q)}>y-x\right) \qquad (3.4)\\
&= \phi\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)}>y\right)\right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (see (3.10) in the following Lemma 3.1)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)}>y\right)\right| dx \le \frac{|\delta|}{q}.$$

Besides, from (2.21), (A.2), (A.4), (A.6), (A.7) and (A.17), after some straightforward calculations, we can derive (note that $M^+=\hat{M}^+$ if $q\in\mathbb{S}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i\phi}{\beta_i-\phi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i\phi}{\phi+\hat{\gamma}_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i\phi}{\hat{\beta}_i-\phi}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} \\
&= \phi\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\phi\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]\int_0^{\infty} F_0(x+y-b)e^{\phi x}dx,
\end{aligned} \qquad (3.5)$$

where

$$F_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} e^{-\hat{\beta}_i x}\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\frac{\hat{\beta}_i-\beta_k}{\beta_k}\prod_{k=1,k\neq i}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\hat{\beta}_i-\hat{\beta}_k}, \quad x>0. \qquad (3.6)$$

Finally, for $s>0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx}F_0(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\frac{\hat{\beta}_i-\beta_k}{\beta_k}\prod_{k=1,k\neq i}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\hat{\beta}_i-\hat{\beta}_k}\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_i+s} \\
&= \frac{1}{s}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\frac{s+\beta_k}{\beta_k}\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{s+\hat{\beta}_k}-1\right) = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}-1\right),
\end{aligned} \qquad (3.7)$$

where the second equality follows from the rational expansion and the third one is due to (A.4), (A.5) and (A.7).

Formulas (2.10) and (3.7) conform that $F_1(x)=F_0(x)$ for $x>0$. Therefore, (3.1) for $q\in\mathbb{S}$ is derived from (3.2)–(3.5).

3.2. Proof of Proposition 3.1 for $q>0$ and $q\in\mathbb{S}^c$

First, for such a $q>0$ and $q\in\mathbb{S}^c$, Lemma 2.3 implies that there exists a sequences of $q_n\in\mathbb{S}$ such that $\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}q_n\downarrow q$. In Subsection 3.1, we have shown that (3.1) holds for q_n, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q_n)}>y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q_n)}>y\right)\right) dx \\
&= \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi\underline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\phi\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]\int_0^{\infty} F_1^n(x+y-b)e^{\phi x}dx,
\end{aligned} \qquad (3.8)$$

where $Re(\phi) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} F_1^n(x)dx = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]} - 1\right), \quad s > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Lemma 3.1. *For given $\delta, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left|\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right)\right| dx \le |\delta| t, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left|\mathbb{P}_x\left(\overline{X}_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(\overline{Y}_t > y\right)\right| dx \le |\delta| t. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Recall (1.1) and $Y_t = X_t - \delta t$. For $\delta > 0$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t > y\right) \ge \mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) \ge \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right),$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left|\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right)\right| dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right) dx \\
&\le \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\{y-x<X_t\le y-x+\delta t\}}\right] dx \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{y-x<X_t\le y-x+\delta t\}} dx\right] = \delta t,
\end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate equality is due to the Fubini theorem. For $\delta < 0$, it is obvious that

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t > y\right) \le \mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) \le \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^\infty \left|\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right)\right| dx \le \int_{-\infty}^\infty \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t > y\right) - \mathbb{P}_x\left(X_t > y\right) dx \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathbf{1}_{\{y-x+\delta t<X_t\le y-x\}} dx\right] = -\delta t,
\end{aligned}$$

so (3.10) is derived.

Note that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(X_s - \delta s\right) - |\delta| t \le \overline{X}_t \le \sup_{0\le s\le t}\left(X_s - \delta s\right) + |\delta| t,$$

which means that

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(\overline{X}_t - |\delta| t > y\right) \le \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(\overline{Y}_t > y\right) \le \mathbb{P}_x\left(\overline{X}_t + |\delta| t > y\right).$$

Hence (3.11) can be proved similarly. □

Lemma 3.2. *For $Re(\phi) = 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q_n)}>y\right)-\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q_n)}>y\right)\right)dx\\&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right)-\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)}>y\right)\right)dx,\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

and for $Re(s) \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]\ \textit{and}\ \lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right].\tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Since $q_n > q$ and $\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q_n)}>y\right)=\int_0^\infty q_n e^{-q_n t}\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t>y\right)dt$, we derive via the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q_n)}>y\right)=\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right).\tag{3.14}$$

Similarly, we can prove (3.13) and the following result:

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q_n)}>y\right)=\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)}>y\right).\tag{3.15}$$

In addition, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q_n)}>y\right)-\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q_n)}>y\right)\right)dx\\&=\int_0^\infty q_n e^{-q_n t}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t>y\right)-\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_t>y\right)\right)dxdt.\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

From (3.10) and (3.14)–(3.16), the dominated convergence theorem produces (3.12). □

Lemma 3.3. *For $F_1^n(x)$ in (3.9) and $F_1(x)$ in (2.10), it holds that*

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1^n(x)dx=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1(x)dx,\quad Re(s)\geq 0.\tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Due to Remark 2.4, for each n, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1^n(x)dx=\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]}-1\right),\quad for\quad Re(s)\geq 0.\tag{3.18}$$

Similar to the derivation of (3.13), it can be shown that

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]=\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right],\quad Re(s)\geq 0.\tag{3.19}$$

Formulas (3.13) and (3.19) lead to

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1^n(x)dx=\int_0^\infty e^{-sx}F_1(x)dx=\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}-1\right),\tag{3.20}$$

which holds for $Re(s) \geq 0$ and $s \neq 0$.

Next, we consider the case of $s = 0$. It follows from (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty F_1^n(x)dx &= \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]} - 1\right) \\ &= \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)}}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q_n)}}\right]}{s} \\ &= \lim_{s\downarrow 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right)\right)dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right)\right)dx,\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where the third equality is due to the integration by part and the final one follows from the dominated convergence theorem since (see (3.11))

$$\int_0^\infty |\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q_n)} \leq x\right)|dx \leq \int_0^\infty qe^{-qt}|\delta|tdt = \frac{|\delta|}{q}.$$

As $q_n > q$ and (3.11) holds, formula (3.21) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \int_0^\infty F_1^n(x)dx = \lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \int_0^\infty q_n e^{-q_n t} \int_0^\infty \left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_t \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_t \leq x\right)\right)dxdt \\ &= \lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \int_0^\infty qe^{-qt} \int_0^\infty \left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_t \leq x\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_t \leq x\right)\right)dxdt = \int_0^\infty F_1(x)dx,\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (3.20), leads to (3.17). □

Proof of Proposition 3.1 for $q \in \mathbb{S}^c$. Since $F_1(x), F_1^n(x) \geq -1$ for $x > 0$ (see Lemma 2.2), we can define the following measures

$$M_1^n(x) = \int_0^x \Big(F_1^n(z) + 1\Big)dz \quad \textit{and} \quad M_1(x) = \int_0^x \Big(F_1(z) + 1\Big)dz. \tag{3.22}$$

Formula (3.17) implies that

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dM_1^n(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dM_1(x), \quad s > 0, \tag{3.23}$$

which combined with the continuity theorem for Laplace transform (see Theorem 2a on page 433 of [8]), gives

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \int_0^x \Big(F_1^n(z) + 1\Big)dz = \int_0^x \Big(F_1(z) + 1\Big)dz, \quad \textit{for all} \quad x > 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Next, for fixed $z > 0$, introduce the following probability distribution functions

$$P_1^n(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \left(F_1^n(t) + 1\right)dt}{\int_0^z \left(F_1^n(t) + 1\right)dt} \quad \textit{and} \quad P_1(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \left(F_1(t) + 1\right)dt}{\int_0^z \left(F_1(t) + 1\right)dt}, \quad 0 < x < z. \tag{3.25}$$

Then, formula (3.24) means that $P_1^n(x)$ converges to $P_1(x)$ in distribution. As a result, $\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_0^z e^{\phi t}dP_1^n(t) = \int_0^z e^{\phi t}dP_1(t)$ for $Re(\phi)=0$, so

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\frac{\int_0^z e^{\phi t}\big(F_1^n(t)+1\big)dt}{\int_0^z\big(F_1^n(t)+1\big)dt} = \frac{\int_0^z e^{\phi t}\big(F_1(t)+1\big)dt}{\int_0^z\big(F_1(t)+1\big)dt}. \tag{3.26}$$

It follows from (3.24) and (3.26) that

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_0^x e^{\phi t}F_1^n(t)dt = \int_0^x e^{\phi t}F_1(t)dt, \quad for \ \ any \ \ x>0, \tag{3.27}$$

which combined with (3.17), yields

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty}\int_0^\infty e^{\phi x}F_1^n(x+y-b)dx = \int_0^\infty e^{\phi x}F_1(x+y-b)dx. \tag{3.28}$$

Therefore, the desired result that (3.1) holds also for $q\in\mathbb{S}^c$ follows from (3.8) by letting $n\uparrow\infty$ and using (3.12), (3.13) and (3.28). □

4. Main results

For the unique strong solution U to (1.1) with X given by (2.1), its probability distribution function is given by Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 4.1. *For $q>0$ and $y\geq b$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)}>y\right) = 1-K_q(y-x)-\int_{b-x}^{y-x}F_1(y-x-z)K_q(dz), \tag{4.1}$$

where $K_q(x)$ is the convolution of $\underline{Y}_{e(q)}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ and $\overline{X}_{e(q)}$ under $\mathbb{P}$, i.e.,

$$K_q(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\min\{0,x\}}\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q)}\leq x-z\right)\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\underline{Y}_{e(q)}\in dz\right), \quad x\ \in\mathbb{R}, \tag{4.2}$$

and $F_1(x)$ is continuous and differentiable on $(0,\infty)$ with rational Laplace transform given by (2.10).

Proof. First, the right-hand side of (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\phi x}\int_{-\infty}^x F_1(x-z+y-b)dK_q(z)dx, \tag{4.3}$$

where $K_q(x)$ is given by (4.2). Since $\overline{X}_{e(q)}$ and $\underline{Y}_{e(q)}$ have density functions (see Remark 2.7) and $F_1(x)$ is continuous on $(0,\infty)$, it is concluded that the integrand in (4.3), i.e., $\int_{-\infty}^x F_1(x-z+y-b)dK_q(z)$, is continuous with respect to x.

As $Y_{e(q)}$ is the convolution of $\overline{Y}_{e(q)}$ and $\underline{Y}_{e(q)}$ (see Lemma 2.6), $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)}>y\right)$ is continuous with respect to x. This result and Lemma 2.5 lead to that

$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)} > y\right)$ is also continuous. Therefore, for $y > b$, it follows from (3.1) and (4.3) that

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)} > y\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{b-x} F_1(y-x-z)dK_q(z), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.4}$$

In addition, for $Re(\phi) = 0$, we have (recall (4.2) and Remark 2.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\phi x} dK_q(x) \int_0^{\infty} e^{\phi x} F_1(x)dx &= \frac{1}{\phi}\left(1 - \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi \overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\phi \overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}\right)\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\phi x} dK_q(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\phi x} dK_q(x) - \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi Y_{e(q)}}\right]\right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\phi x}\left(\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(Y_{e(q)} \le x\right) - K_q(x)\right)dx,\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where the second equality is due to Lemma 2.6 and the third one follows from the application of integration by parts. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(Y_{e(q)} \le x\right) - K_q(x)|dx \\ &\le \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\min\{0,x\}} |\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q)} \le x-z\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q)} \le x-z\right)|\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\underline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dz\right)dx \\ &\le \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{0} |\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q)} \le x-z\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q)} \le x-z\right)|\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\underline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dz\right)dx \le \frac{|\delta|}{q},\end{aligned}$$

where in the first inequality, we have used Lemma 2.6 and (4.2); the final inequality follows from (3.11).

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, formula (4.5) gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{y-x} F_1(y-x-z)dK_q(z) = \hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(Y_{e(q)} \le y-x\right) - K_q(y-x), \tag{4.6}$$

which combined with (4.4), leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > y\right) - \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x\left(Y_{e(q)} > y\right) \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(Y_{e(q)} \le y-x\right) - K_q(y-x) - \int_{b-x}^{y-x} F_1(y-x-z)dK_q(z).\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

This proves that (4.1) holds for $y > b$. Letting $y \downarrow b$ in (4.7) and using that $\lim_{y\downarrow b}\int_{b-x}^{y-x} F_1(y-x-z)K_q(dz) = 0$ (since $F_1(x)$ is bounded on $(0,\infty)$; see Remark 2.4) deduce that (4.1) holds also for $y = b$. □

Similar derivations will lead to the following Theorem 4.2, and for the sake of brevity, the details are omitted.

Theorem 4.2. *For $q > 0$ and $y \le b$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} < y\right) = K_q(y-x) - \int_{y-x}^{b-x} F_2(y-x-z)K_q(dz), \tag{4.8}$$

where $F_2(x)$ is continuous and differentiable on $(-\infty, 0)$ and its Laplace transform is given by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{sz}F_2(z)dz = \frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{s\underline{X}_{e(q)}}\right]}{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]} - 1\right), \quad s > 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Remark 4.1. *It follows from (4.1) and (4.8) that*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > b\right) + \mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} < b\right) = 1,$$

which implies that $\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} = b\right) = 0$ for all $q > 0$.

Remark 4.2. *For fixed $b \in \mathbb{R}$, letting $y = b$ in (4.1), we arrive at $\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > b\right) = 1 - K_q(b-x)$, which means that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\phi(x-b)}d\left(\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} > b\right)\right) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{\phi\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\phi\underline{X}_{e(q)}}\right].$$

A similar result has already been derived in [22]; see (4.9) in that paper.

Remark 4.3. *Compared with (2.20), in (4.1), (4.2), (4.8) and (4.9), the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$ disappear. The forms of these results and Remark 2.2 give us the following conjecture: formulas (4.1) and (4.8) hold for a general Lévy process X and the corresponding solution U (if exists) to (1.1). Proving this conjecture is a potential research direction.*

Since both $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ are differentiable, from (4.1) and (4.8), the expression of $\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right)$ can be derived.

Corollary 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = q\int_0^\infty e^{-qt}\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_t \in dy\right)dt = \\
&\begin{cases}
(F_1(0)+1)K_q(dy-x) + \int_{b-x}^{y-x} F_1'(y-x-z)K_q(dz)dy, & y > b, \\
(F_2(0)+1)K_q(dy-x) - \int_{y-x}^{b-x} F_2'(y-x-z)K_q(dz)dy, & y < b,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $F_1(0)$ is given by (2.12), $F_2(0) := \lim_{x\uparrow 0} F_2(x)$ and moreover $F_2(0) = F_1(0)$.

Proof. In (4.1) and (4.8), differentiating with respect to y yields (4.10). Noting that $\mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} = b\right) = 0$ (see Remark 4.1), we can write $y > b$ or $y < b$ in (4.11) as $y \ge b$ or $y \le b$. An interesting conclusion is that $F_2(0) = F_1(0)$, which will be proved in the following.

For simplicity, we only consider $q \in \mathbb{S}$ since the case of $q \in \mathbb{S}^c$ can be shown similarly.

It follows from (2.17) and (4.9) that

$$F_2(0) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-} \gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{\gamma}_k} - 1. \tag{4.11}$$

For $q \in \mathbb{S}$, Lemma 2.1 (i) and Lemma 2.4 (i) give

$$\hat{\psi}(z) - q = \psi(z) - i\delta z - q = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}(\hat{\beta}_k - iz) \prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(\hat{\gamma}_k + iz)}{\prod_{k=1}^{n^-}(\vartheta_k + iz)^{n_k} \prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(\eta_k - iz)^{m_k}},$$

which combined with the fact that $\psi(0) = 0$, produces

$$q = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{\beta}_k \prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{\gamma}_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{n^-}(\vartheta_k)^{n_k} \prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(\eta_k)^{m_k}}.$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$q = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+} \beta_k \prod_{k=1}^{N^-} \gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{n^-}(\vartheta_k)^{n_k} \prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(\eta_k)^{m_k}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{\beta}_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+} \beta_k} = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-} \gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{\gamma}_k}, \tag{4.12}$$

and the desired result follows from (2.12), (4.11) and (4.12). □

Remark 4.4. *For a more general Lévy process X, the two functions $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ given respectively by (2.10) and (4.9) may not be differentiable. Thus, it is better to understand (4.10) as*

$$\mathbb{P}_x\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = \begin{cases} \big(F_1(0)+1\big)K_q(dy-x) + \int_{b-x}^{y-x} F_1(dy-x-z)K_q(dz), & y > b, \\ \big(F_2(0)+1\big)K_q(dy-x) - \int_{y-x}^{b-x} F_2(dy-x-z)K_q(dz), & y < b. \end{cases}$$

5. Applications in pricing Variable Annuities

As stated in the introduction, our results can be used to price Variable Annuities (VAs) with state-dependent fees. First of all, we give some backgrounds.

VAs are life insurance products whose benefits are linked to the performance of a reference portfolio with guaranteed minimum returns. There are many kinds of guarantees such as Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits (GMDBs) and Guaranteed Minimum Maturity Benefits (GMMBs), and the reader is referred to [3] for more details. Of course, the guaranteed benefits are not free.

Traditionally, the corresponding fees are deducted at a fixed rate from the policyholder's account. This classical fee charging method has some disadvantages, which have been noted by [4]. Thus in [4], the authors proposed a new fee deducting approach under which only when the policyholder's account value is lower than a pre-specified level can the insurer charge fees. For more details and researches on this new method, we refer to [4,7,17,24,25].

Let S_t and F_t represent respectively the time-t value of the reference portfolio and the policyholder's account. Under the state-dependent fee structure, we have (see (1) in [4] or (2.3) in [24])

$$dF_t = F_{t-}\frac{dS_t}{S_{t-}} - (-\delta)F_{t-}\mathbf{1}_{\{F_{t-}<B\}}dt, \quad t>0, \tag{5.1}$$

where $-\delta > 0$ is the fee rate and B is a pre-specified level. Note that the case of $B = \infty$ corresponds to the classical fee charging method. Furthermore, assume that

$$S_t = S_0 e^{X_t - \delta t},$$

with X_t given by (2.1).

For a VA with GMMBs, its payoff can be written as $G(F_T)$, where T is the maturity and $G(\cdot)$ is a payoff function. For a VA with GMDBs, its payment when the policyholder dies is given by $G(F_{T_x})$, where T_x is the time of the death of the insured. A simple example of $G(\cdot)$ is $G(x) = \max\{x, K\}$, where K is a constant. In order to price VAs with GMMBs or GMDBs, we need to compute the following expectations under an equivalent martingale measure:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT}G(F_T)\right] \quad or \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT_x}G(F_{T_x})\right], \tag{5.2}$$

where $r > 0$ denotes the continuously compounded constant risk-free rate.

As the market is incomplete, an equivalent martingale measure should be chosen to calculate (5.2). Similar to [24], we use the Cramér-Esscher transform (see [9]) to obtain the wanted martingale measure. In specific, define first

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^c}{\mathbb{P}} = \frac{e^{cX_t}}{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX_t}\right]},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX_t}\right] < \infty$. And for convenience, in (2.2) and (2.3), we assume that η_1 (ϑ_1) has the smallest real part among $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{m^+}$ ($\vartheta_1, \ldots, \vartheta_{n^-}$). As $S_t = S_0 e^{X_t - \delta t}$, it is reasonable to require that $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{X_t}\right] < \infty$, this means that $\eta_1 > 1$ in (2.2). Note that $\lim_{c\uparrow\eta_1}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX_t}\right] = \infty$ and $\lim_{c\downarrow-\vartheta_1}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{cX_t}\right] = \infty$. We can choose c^* such that $e^{-rt}S_t$ is a martingale under $\mathbb{P}^{c^*}$. It is obvious that X_t is still a Lévy process under $\mathbb{P}^{c^*}$, and in particular, the process X has the same form as (2.1) under $\mathbb{P}^{c^*}$. So we drop the superscript c^* from $\mathbb{P}^{c^*}$ and assume that the expectations appeared in the following are calculated under the equivalent martingale measure $\mathbb{P}^{c^*}$.

For a VA with GMDBs, its price is $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT_x}G(F_{T_x})\right]$. Applying similar discussions presented in [24] (see the derivation of (2.9) in that paper), we obtain

that the computation of $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT_x}G(F_{T_x})\right]$ reduces to calculate $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-re(q)}G(F_{e(q)})\right] = \frac{q}{r+q}\mathbb{E}\left[G(F_{e(r+q)})\right]$ for given $q > 0$. For a VA with GMDBs, we note that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sT}\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT}G(F_T)\right]dT = \frac{1}{s+r}\mathbb{E}\left[G(F_{e(s+r)})\right],$$

from which $\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-rT}G(F_T)\right]$ can be obtained by using a numerical Laplace inversion technique.

In summary, the key step to price a VA with GMDBs or GMMBs is deriving the expression of $\mathbb{E}\left[G(F_{e(q)})\right]$ for $q > 0$.

From (5.1), applying Itô's formula gives $F_t = F_0 e^{U_t}$ with

$$dU_t = d(X_t - \delta t) - (-\delta)\mathbf{1}_{\{U_t<b\}}dt = dX_t - \delta\mathbf{1}_{\{U_t>b\}}dt, \tag{5.3}$$

where $b = \ln\left(\frac{B}{F_0}\right)$. So we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}\left[G(F_{e(q)})\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[G(F_0e^{U_{e(q)}})\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(F_0e^y)\mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right). \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from (1.1), (4.10) and (5.3) that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = \begin{cases} (F_1(0)+1)K_q(dy) + \int_b^y F_1'(y-z)K_q(dz)dy, & y > b, \\ (F_2(0)+1)K_q(dy) - \int_y^b F_2'(y-z)K_q(dz)dy, & y < b, \end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

where $F_1(x)$, $F_2(x)$ and $K_q(x)$ are given respectively by (2.10), (4.9) and (4.2).

By applying rational expansion, we can obtain semi-explicit expressions for $F_1(x)$, $F_2(x)$ and $K_q(x)$ and thus for $\mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right)$. However, for $q \in \mathbb{S}^c$, formulas for $\mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right)$ are very long and complicated, and more importantly, they are difficult to be used in numerical computations since we need to handle multiple roots. Fortunately, due to Lemma 2.3, it is safe and convenient to consider only the case of $q \in \mathbb{S}$. The corresponding results will be given in the following corollary, from which we can obtain first the expression of $\mathbb{E}\left[G(F_{e(q)})\right]$ and then the price of a VA with GMDBs or GMMBs.

Corollary 5.1. *For $q \in \mathbb{S}$, defining $f_q(x) := \mathbb{P}\left(U_{e(q)} \in dy\right)/dy$, we have the following results.*

(i) If $b \geq 0$, then

$$f_q(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\hat{\beta}_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\beta_k}K_q(dy) + \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\sum_{m=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{K_{i,j}F_{1,m}}{\hat{\beta}_m - \beta_i}\left(e^{-\beta_i y} - e^{-\beta_i b}e^{\hat{\beta}_m(b-y)}\right), & y > b, \\ \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-}\gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\hat{\gamma}_k}K_q(dy) - \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\sum_{n=1}^{N^-}\frac{K_{i,j}F_{2,n}}{\gamma_n + \beta_i}\left(e^{-\beta_i y} - e^{-\beta_i b}e^{\gamma_n(y-b)}\right), & 0 < y < b, \end{cases}$$

and for $y \le 0$,

$$f_q(y) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-}\gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat N^-}\hat\gamma_k}K_q(dy) - \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat N^-}\sum_{n=1}^{N^-}\frac{K_{i,j}F_{2,n}}{\hat\gamma_j-\gamma_n}\left(e^{\gamma_n y}\left(\frac{\hat\gamma_j+\beta_i}{\gamma_n+\beta_i} - \frac{\hat\gamma_j-\gamma_n}{\gamma_n+\beta_i}e^{-(\beta_i+\gamma_n)b}\right) - e^{\hat\gamma_j y}\right).$$

(ii) If $b<0$, *then*

$$f_q(y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-}\gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat N^-}\hat\gamma_k}K_q(dy) - \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat N^-}K_{i,j}\sum_{n=1}^{N^-}F_{2,n}\frac{e^{\gamma_n(y-b)+\hat\gamma_j b}-e^{\hat\gamma_j y}}{\hat\gamma_j-\gamma_n}, & y<b, \\ \dfrac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat M^+}\hat\beta_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\beta_k}K_q(dy) + \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat N^-}K_{i,j}\sum_{m=1}^{\hat M^+}F_{1,m}\frac{e^{\hat\gamma_j y}-e^{\hat\beta_m(b-y)+\hat\gamma_j b}}{\hat\gamma_j+\hat\beta_m}, & b<y<0, \end{cases}$$

and for $y \ge 0$,

$$f_q(y) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat M^+}\hat\beta_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\beta_k}K_q(dy) + \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat N^-}\sum_{m=1}^{\hat M^+}\frac{K_{i,j}F_{1,m}}{\beta_i-\hat\beta_m}\left\{e^{-\beta_m y}\left(\frac{\beta_i+\hat\gamma_j}{\hat\gamma_j+\hat\beta_m} + \frac{\hat\beta_m-\beta_i}{\hat\beta_m+\hat\gamma_j}e^{(\hat\beta_m+\hat\gamma_j)b}\right) - e^{-\beta_i y}\right\}.$$

In the above formulas,

$$F_{1,i} = -\hat\beta_i\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\frac{\hat\beta_i-\beta_k}{\beta_k}\prod_{k=1,k\neq i}^{\hat M^+}\frac{\hat\beta_k}{\hat\beta_i-\hat\beta_k}, \quad for \;\; 1\le i\le \hat M^+, \tag{5.6}$$

$$F_{2,i} = -\gamma_i\prod_{k=1}^{\hat N^-}\frac{\hat\gamma_k-\gamma_i}{\hat\gamma_k}\prod_{k=1,k\neq i}^{N^-}\frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_k-\gamma_i}, \quad for \;\; 1\le i\le N^-, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$K_q(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat N^-}K_{i,j}e^{-\beta_i x}e^{(\beta_i+\hat\gamma_j)(x\wedge 0)}, \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$K_{i,j} = \frac{\beta_i\hat\gamma_j}{\beta_i+\hat\gamma_j}\prod_{k=1}^{m^+}\frac{(\eta_k-\beta_i)^{m_k}}{(\eta_k)^{m_k}}\prod_{k=1,k\neq i}^{M^+}\frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k-\beta_i}\prod_{k=1}^{n^-}\frac{(\vartheta_k-\hat\gamma_j)^{n_k}}{(\vartheta_k)^{n_k}}\prod_{k=1,k\neq j}^{\hat N^-}\left(\frac{\hat\gamma_k}{\hat\gamma_k-\hat\gamma_j}\right).$$

Proof. Since $q\in\mathbb{S}$, we have $F_1(x)=F_0(x)$ (see (3.6) and (3.7)). So for $x>0$,

$$F_1'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat M^+}F_{1,i}e^{-\hat\beta_i x}$$

with $F_{1,i}$ given by (5.6). Besides, formula (2.12) gives

$$F_1(0) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat M^+}\hat\beta_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{M^+}\beta_k} - 1.$$

From (2.17) and (4.9), applying partial fraction expansion gives

$$F_2'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N^-} F_{2,i} e^{\gamma_i x}, \quad x < 0,$$

where $F_{2,i}$ is given by (5.7). In addition, we know (see (4.11))

$$F_2(0) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N^-} \gamma_k}{\prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{\gamma}_k} - 1.$$

From Lemma A.1 (i), Lemma A.1 (iii) and (4.2), some straightforward calculations leads to (5.8).

Therefore, the desired results follow from (5.5) after some simple computations. □

Appendix

The proof of Proposition 2.1 is given in this section, where some ideas used can also be found in [22]. For completeness and for the convenience of the reader, we present all the details rather than omit some of them even though we will repeat some preliminary results and calculation procedures appeared in [22].

Recall $\mathbb{S}$ is the set of $q > 0$ such that all the roots of $\psi(z) = q$ and $\hat{\psi}(z) = q$ are simple.

The following Lemma A.1 follows directly from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4.

Lemma A.1. *For $q \in \mathbb{S}$, the following results hold.*

(i) For $y \geq 0$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\overline{X}_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{M^+} C_k e^{-\beta_k y} dy$, where

$$\frac{C_i}{\beta_i} = \prod_{k=1}^{m^+} \left(\frac{\eta_k - \beta_i}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k} \prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{M^+} \frac{\beta_k}{\beta_k - \beta_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M^+. \tag{A.1}$$

(ii) For $y \geq 0$, $\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{C}_k e^{-\hat{\beta}_k y} dy$, where

$$\frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i} = \prod_{k=1}^{m^+} \left(\frac{\eta_k - \hat{\beta}_i}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k} \prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{\hat{\beta}_k - \hat{\beta}_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \hat{M}^+. \tag{A.2}$$

(iii) For $y \leq 0$, $\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\underline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dy\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{D}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k y} dy$, where

$$\frac{\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_j} = \prod_{k=1}^{n^-} \left(\frac{\vartheta_k - \hat{\gamma}_j}{\vartheta_k}\right)^{n_k} \prod_{k=1, k\neq j}^{\hat{N}^-} \left(\frac{\hat{\gamma}_k}{\hat{\gamma}_k - \hat{\gamma}_j}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \hat{N}^-. \tag{A.3}$$

Next, introduce the following three rational functions:

$$\psi^+(s) := \prod_{k=1}^{m^+} \left(\frac{s+\eta_k}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k} \prod_{k=1}^{M^+} \left(\frac{\beta_k}{s+\beta_k}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{M^+} \frac{C_k}{s+\beta_k}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$\hat{\psi}^+(s) := \prod_{k=1}^{m^+} \left(\frac{s+\eta_k}{\eta_k}\right)^{m_k} \prod_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_k}{s+\hat{\beta}_k}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{C}_k}{s+\hat{\beta}_k}, \tag{A.5}$$

and

$$\hat{\psi}^-(s) := \prod_{k=1}^{n^-} \left(\frac{s+\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k}\right)^{n_k} \prod_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \left(\frac{\hat{\gamma}_k}{s+\hat{\gamma}_k}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{D}_k}{s+\hat{\gamma}_k}. \tag{A.6}$$

For $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and $Re(s) \geq 0$, note that (see (2.8), (2.9) and (2.17))

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-s\overline{X}_{e(q)}}\right] = \psi^+(s), \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-s\overline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] = \hat{\psi}^+(s) \ \ and \ \ \hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right] = \hat{\psi}^-(s). \tag{A.7}$$

In addition, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\tau_a^+ := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t > a\} \ \ and \ \ \hat{\tau}_a^- := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t < a\}. \tag{A.8}$$

Results on the one-sided exit problems of X and Y are presented in the following lemma. Lemma A.2 (i) can be established by applying Lemma A.1 (i), (2.14) and (A.4); and Lemma A.2 (ii) follows from Lemma A.1 (iii), (A.6) and the following result (see Corollary 2 in [1])

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-q\hat{\tau}_x^- + s(Y_{\hat{\tau}_x^-} - x)}\right] = \frac{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s(\underline{Y}_{e(q)} - x)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\underline{Y}_{e(q)} < x\}}\right]}{\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{s\underline{Y}_{e(q)}}\right]}, \quad x, s \geq 0.$$

Lemma A.2. *(i) For $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and $x, y \geq 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-q\tau_x^+} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{\tau_x^+} - x \in dy\}}\right] = C_0(x)\delta_0(dy) + \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} C_{kj}(x) \frac{(\eta_k)^j y^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{-\eta_k y} dy,$$

where $\delta_0(dy)$ is the Dirac delta at $y = 0$, $C_0(x)$ and $C_{kj}(x)$ are given by rational expansion:

$$C_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} C_{kj}(x) \left(\frac{\eta_k}{\eta_k + s}\right)^j = \frac{1}{\psi^+(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{M^+} C_k \frac{e^{-\beta_k x}}{s+\beta_k}, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{A.9}$$

(ii) For $q \in \mathbb{S}$ and $x, y \leq 0$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left[e^{-q\hat{\tau}_x^-} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_{\hat{\tau}_x^-} - x \in dy\}}\right] = \hat{D}_0(x)\delta_0(dy) + \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \hat{D}_{kj}(x) \frac{(\vartheta_k)^j (-y)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{\vartheta_k y} dy,$$

where $\hat{D}_0(x)$ and $\hat{D}_{kj}(x)$ are given by rational expansion:

$$\hat{D}_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \hat{D}_{kj}(x) \left(\frac{\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k + s} \right)^j = \frac{1}{\hat{\psi}^-(s)} \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{D}_k \frac{e^{\hat{\gamma}_k x}}{s + \hat{\gamma}_k}, \ x \leq 0. \quad \text{(A.10)}$$

Remark A.1. *A useful observation is that $C_0(x)$ and $C_{kj}(x)$ in (A.9) are linear combinations of $e^{\beta_i x}$ for $1 \leq i \leq M^+$, and $\hat{D}_0(x)$ and $\hat{D}_{kj}(x)$ in (A.10) are linear combinations of $e^{\hat{\gamma}_i x}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \hat{N}^-$.*

Lemma A.3 is a straightforward result of (A.9) and (A.10), here the reader is reminded that $\frac{1}{(\theta+\beta_k)(s+\beta_k)}$ can be written as $\frac{1}{s-\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\theta+\beta_k} - \frac{1}{s+\beta_k}\right)$.

Lemma A.3. *For any $\theta > 0$ and $s \neq -\eta_1, \ldots, -\eta_{m^+}$ with $\theta \neq s$,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} C_0(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} C_{kj}(x) dx \left(\frac{\eta_k}{\eta_k + s} \right)^j = \frac{1}{s-\theta} \left(\frac{\psi^+(\theta)}{\psi^+(s)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{(A.11)}$$

and for any $\theta > 0$ and $s \neq -\vartheta_1, \ldots, -\vartheta_{n^-}$ with $\theta \neq s$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta x} \hat{D}_0(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\theta x} \hat{D}_{kj}(x) dx \left(\frac{\vartheta_k}{\vartheta_k + s} \right)^j = \frac{1}{s-\theta} \left(\frac{\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)}{\hat{\psi}^-(s)} - 1 \right). \quad \text{(A.12)}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. For given $y > b$, the function of x, $V_q(x)$, is defined as (see Lemma 2.5):

$$V_q(x) = \mathbb{P}_x \left(U_{e(q)} > y \right). \quad \text{(A.13)}$$

Recall (1.1) and note that $\{X_t, t < \tau_b^+\}$ and $\{U_t, t < \kappa_b^+\}$ with $\kappa_b^+ := \inf\{t \geq 0 : U_t > b\}$ under $\mathbb{P}_x$ have the same law if $x < b$. Thus, for $x < b$, the strong Markov property of U will lead to

$$\begin{aligned} V_q(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{U_{e(q)} > y\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{e(q) > \kappa_b^+\}} \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\kappa_b^+} V_q(U_{\kappa_b^+}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[e^{-q\tau_b^+} V_q(X_{\tau_b^+}) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-q\tau_{b-x}^+} V_q(X_{\tau_{b-x}^+} + x) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} C_{kj}(b-x) \int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta_k)^j z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{-\eta_k z} V_q(b+z) dz \qquad \text{(A.14)} \\ &\quad + C_0(b-x) V_q(b) = \sum_{k=1}^{M^+} J_k e^{\beta_k (x-b)}, \quad x < b, \end{aligned}$$

where $J_1, \ldots, J_{M^+}$ are constants which are not dependent on x; the fourth and the fifth equality follows from Lemma A.2 (i) and Remark A.1, respectively.

For $x > b$, the strong Markov property of U and the fact that $\{Y_t, t < \hat{\tau}_b^-\}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ and $\{U_t, t < \kappa_b^-\}$ with $\kappa_b^- := \inf\{t \geq 0 : U_t < b\}$ under $\mathbb{P}_x$ have the same law[1] will give

$$\begin{aligned} V_q(x) &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^{\kappa_b^-} qe^{-qt}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{U_t>y}\}}\mathrm{dt} + \int_{\kappa_b^-}^{\infty} \mathrm{qe^{-qt}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{U_t>y}\}}\mathrm{dt}\right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x\left[\int_0^{\infty} qe^{-qt}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathrm{Y_t>y,t<\hat{\tau}_b^-}\}}\mathrm{dt}\right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x\left[e^{-q\hat{\tau}_b^-}V_q(Y_{\hat{\tau}_b^-})\right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{P}}_x(Y_{e(q)} > y, \underline{Y}_{e(q)} \geq b) + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_x\left[e^{-q\hat{\tau}_b^-}V_q(Y_{\hat{\tau}_b^-})\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Since Lemma 2.6 holds, the first item on the right-hand side of (A.15) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{b-x}^{0} \hat{\mathbb{P}}(Y_{e(q)} - \underline{Y}_{e(q)} > y - x - z, \underline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dz) \\ =& \int_{b-x}^{0} \hat{\mathbb{P}}(\overline{Y}_{e(q)} > y - x - z)\hat{\mathbb{P}}(\underline{Y}_{e(q)} \in dz) \\ =& \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_k e^{\hat{\beta}_k(x-y)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k^* e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & b < x \leq y, \\ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{Q}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(y-x)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k^* e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & x \geq y, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

where the second equality is due to Lemma A.1 (ii) and (iii) (note that $\hat{\mathbb{P}}\left(\overline{Y}_{e(q)} > z\right) = 1$ if $z \leq 0$); for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{M}^+$,

$$\hat{H}_k = \frac{\hat{C}_k}{\hat{\beta}_k} \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_k + \hat{\gamma}_j}, \tag{A.17}$$

and for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \hat{N}^-$,

$$\hat{Q}_k = \hat{D}_k \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i(\hat{\beta}_i + \hat{\gamma}_k)} - \frac{\hat{D}_k}{\hat{\gamma}_k} \text{ and } \hat{P}_k^* = -\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i} \frac{\hat{D}_k}{\hat{\beta}_i + \hat{\gamma}_k} e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}. \tag{A.18}$$

Therefore, from (A.15), (A.16), Lemma A.2 (ii) and Remark A.1, we conclude that there are some constants $\hat{P}_1, \ldots, \hat{P}_{\hat{N}^-}$ (independent of x) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \hat{D}_{kj}(b-x) \int_{-\infty}^{0} V_q(b+z) \frac{(\vartheta_k)^j(-z)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} e^{\vartheta_k z} dz \\ + \hat{D}_0(b-x)V_q(b) + \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_j^* e^{\hat{\gamma}_j(b-x)}, \quad for \quad all \quad x > b, \end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

[1]Strictly speaking, this statement should be written as follows: $\{Y_t, t < \tilde{\tau}_b^-\}$ with $\tilde{\tau}_b^- := \inf\{t \geq 0 : Y_t \leq b\}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_x$ and $\{U_t, t < \tilde{\kappa}_b^-\}$ with $\tilde{\kappa}_b^- := \inf\{t \geq 0 : U_t \leq b\}$ under $\mathbb{P}_x$ have the same law. But, since $\sigma > 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}_x\left(\hat{\tau}_b^- = \tilde{\tau}_b^-\right) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}_x\left(\kappa_b^- = \tilde{\kappa}_b^-\right) = 1$.

and

$$V_q(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_k e^{\hat{\beta}_k(x-y)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & b < x \le y, \\ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{Q}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(y-x)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_k e^{\hat{\gamma}_k(b-x)}, & x \ge y, \end{cases} \tag{A.20}$$

For the constants J_k in (A.14) and $\hat{P}_k$ in (A.19), we will show in the following Lemma A.4 that formulas (A.25)–(A.28) hold.

Next, consider a rational function of x as follows:

$$L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{M^+} \frac{J_i}{x - \beta_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{P}_i}{x + \hat{\gamma}_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i}{x - \hat{\beta}_i} e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}. \tag{A.21}$$

For fixed $1 \le k \le m^+$ and $0 \le j \le m_k - 1$, (A.27) in Lemma A.4 gives us $\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}\left(L(x)\right)_{x=\eta_k} = 0$. This implies that η_k is a root of $L(x) = 0$ and its multiplicity is m_k. Moreover, for $1 \le k \le n^-$, (A.28) means that $-\vartheta_k$ is a n_k-multiplicity root of $L(x) = 0$. From these results, $L(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(x-\eta_k)^{m_k} \prod_{k}^{n^-}(x+\vartheta_k)^{n_k} (l_0 + l_1 x + \cdots + l_{M^+-1} x^{M^+-1} + x^{M^+}(L_0 + L_1 x)}{\prod_{i=1}^{M^+}(x-\beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(x+\hat{\gamma}_i) \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}(x-\hat{\beta}_i)}, \tag{A.22}$$

where $l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{M^+-1}$, L_0 and L_1 are constants, and we have used $M^+ = \sum_{k=1}^{m^+} m_k + 1 = \hat{M}^+$ and $\hat{N}^- = \sum_{k=1}^{n^-} n_k + 1$ (see Remark 2.5 and Lemma 2.4) in the above derivation.

Then, by applying (A.25) and (A.26), we derive $L_0 = 0$ and $L_1 = 0$ from (A.21) and (A.22). Finally, it can be seen from (A.21) that

$$\lim_{x \to \hat{\beta}_i} L(x)(x - \hat{\beta}_i) = -\hat{H}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}, \quad 1 \le i \le \hat{M}^+. \tag{A.23}$$

Therefore, we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned} L(x) = {} & \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{m^+}(x-\eta_k)^{m_k} \prod_{k=1}^{n^-}(x+\vartheta_k)^{n_k}}{\prod_{i=1}^{M^+}(x-\beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(x+\hat{\gamma}_i)} \times \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{M^+}(\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_i) \prod_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}(\hat{\beta}_k + \hat{\gamma}_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m^+}(\hat{\beta}_k - \eta_i)^{m_i} \prod_{i=1}^{n^-}(\hat{\beta}_k + \vartheta_i)^{n_i}} \frac{-\hat{H}_k}{x - \hat{\beta}_k} e^{\hat{\beta}_k(b-y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

Formulas (2.20) and (2.21) are derived from (A.14), (A.20), (A.21) and (A.24). □

Lemma A.4. *(i) It holds that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M^+} J_i = V_q(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_i, \tag{A.25}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M^+} J_i\beta_i = V_q'(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \hat{H}_i\hat{\beta}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \hat{P}_i\hat{\gamma}_i. \tag{A.26}$$

(ii) For $1 \le k \le m^+$ *and* $0 \le j \le m_k - 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M^+} \frac{J_i(-1)^j}{(\beta_i - \eta_k)^{j+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{P}_i}{(\eta_k + \hat{\gamma}_i)^{j+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i(-1)^j}{(\hat{\beta}_i - \eta_k)^{j+1}} e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} = 0. \tag{A.27}$$

(iii) For any given $1 \le k \le n^-$ *and* $0 \le j \le n_k - 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M^+} \frac{J_i(-1)^j}{(\beta_i + \vartheta_k)^{j+1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{P}_i}{(\hat{\gamma}_i - \vartheta_k)^{j+1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i(-1)^j}{(\hat{\beta}_i + \vartheta_k)^{j+1}} e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} = 0. \tag{A.28}$$

Proof. (i) These results follow from (2.19), (A.14) and (A.20).

(ii) First, as $\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i} = 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_j}$ (let $s = 0$ in (A.5) and (A.6)), for some proper θ, we have (see (A.18))

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\theta\hat{Q}_k}{\theta + \hat{\gamma}_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{C}_i\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_i\hat{\gamma}_j} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\theta}{\theta + \hat{\gamma}_k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{D}_k\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i(\hat{\beta}_i + \hat{\gamma}_k)} - \frac{\hat{D}_k}{\hat{\gamma}_k} \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i} \right).$$

Thus, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ except at $\hat{\beta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{\hat{M}^+}$ and $-\hat{\gamma}_1, \ldots, -\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{N}^-}$, the last formula and (A.17) will lead to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\theta\hat{H}_k}{\hat{\beta}_k - \theta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\theta\hat{Q}_k}{\theta + \hat{\gamma}_k} + 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \left\{ \frac{\theta\hat{C}_i\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_i(\hat{\beta}_i + \hat{\gamma}_j)(\hat{\beta}_i - \theta)} - \frac{\theta\hat{C}_i\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\gamma}_j(\hat{\beta}_i + \hat{\gamma}_j)(\hat{\gamma}_j + \theta)} + \frac{\hat{C}_i\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_i\hat{\gamma}_j} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\hat{C}_i\hat{D}_j}{(\hat{\beta}_i - \theta)(\theta + \hat{\gamma}_j)} = \hat{\psi}^+(-\theta)\hat{\psi}^-(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

where the last equality follows from (A.5) and (A.6).

Note that

$$\frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial\eta^{j-1}} \left(\hat{\psi}^+(-\eta) \right)_{\eta=\eta_k} = 0, \quad for \;\; 1 \le k \le m^+ \;\; and \;\; 1 \le j \le m_k. \tag{A.30}$$

From (A.29) and (A.30), we obtain

$$\frac{(\eta_k)^j(-1)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} \frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial\eta^{j-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} e^{\eta(b-y)} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+} \frac{\hat{H}_i\eta}{\hat{\beta}_i - \eta} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-} \frac{\eta\hat{Q}_i}{\eta + \hat{\gamma}_i} + 1 \Big) \right)_{\eta=\eta_k} = 0. \tag{A.31}$$

For $1 \le k \le m^+$ and $1 \le j \le m_k$, the integral $(-1)^{j-1}\int_{z_1}^{z_2} z^{j-1}e^{-\eta_k z}e^{\xi z}dz$ can be understood as $\frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial \eta^{j-1}}\left(\int_{z_1}^{z_2} e^{-\eta z}e^{\xi z}dz\right)_{\eta=\eta_k}$ for some proper constants z_1, z_2 and ξ, then it can be obtained from (A.20) and (A.31) that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(\eta_k)^j z^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}e^{-\eta_k z}V_q(b+z)dz = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i(\eta_k)^j}{(\eta_k+\hat{\gamma}_i)^j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i(\eta_k)^j}{(\eta_k-\hat{\beta}_i)^j}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)},$$

which combined with (A.14) and the result of $V_q(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\hat{H}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\hat{P}_i$ (see (A.25)), gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{M^+} J_k e^{\beta_k(x-b)} =& \sum_{k=1}^{m^+}\sum_{j=1}^{m_k} C_{kj}(b-x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i(\eta_k)^j}{(\eta_k+\hat{\gamma}_i)^j} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i(\eta_k)^j}{(\eta_k-\hat{\beta}_i)^j}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}\right)\\ &+ C_0(b-x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\hat{H}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\hat{P}_i\right), \quad for \quad all \quad x<b.\end{aligned} \tag{A.32}$$

It follows from (A.11) and (A.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i}{\beta_i+\theta} = \int_{-\infty}^b e^{\theta(x-b)}\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}J_i e^{\beta_i(x-b)}dx\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}}{\theta+\hat{\beta}_i}\left(1-\frac{\psi^+(\theta)}{\psi^+(-\hat{\beta}_i)}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i}{\hat{\gamma}_i-\theta}\left(\frac{\psi^+(\theta)}{\psi^+(\hat{\gamma}_i)}-1\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.33}$$

Since $\lim_{\theta\to-\hat{\beta}_i}\frac{\psi^+(-\hat{\beta}_i)-\psi^+(\theta)}{\theta+\hat{\beta}_i} = -\psi^{+\prime}(-\hat{\beta}_i)$ and $\lim_{\theta\to\hat{\gamma}_i}\frac{\psi^+(\theta)-\psi^+(\hat{\gamma}_i)}{\theta-\hat{\gamma}_i} = \psi^{+\prime}(\hat{\gamma}_i)$. In addition, noting that both sides of (A.33) are rational functions[2] of θ, we can extend identity (A.33) to the whole plane except at $-\beta_1,\ldots,-\beta_{M^+}$. Then, for given $1 \le k \le m^+$ and $0 \le j \le m_k - 1$, (A.27) is derived by first taking a derivative on both sides of (A.33) with respect to θ up to j order and then letting θ equal to $-\eta_k$, where we have used the fact that $\frac{\partial^j}{\partial\theta^j}\Big(\psi^+(\theta)\Big)_{\theta=-\eta_k} = 0$.

(iii) Similarly, for $1 \le k \le n^-$ and $1 \le j \le n_k$, it follows from (A.14) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 V_q(b+z)\frac{(\vartheta_k)^j(-z)^{j-1}}{(j-1)!}e^{\vartheta_k z}dz = \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i(\vartheta_k)^j}{(\vartheta_k+\beta_i)^j}. \tag{A.34}$$

From (A.12), (A.18), (A.19) and (A.34) and the fact of $V_q(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}J_i$ (see

[2]Here, we omit the first equality in (A.33), i.e., the item $\int_{-\infty}^b e^{\theta(x-b)}\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}J_i e^{\beta_i(x-b)}dx$ is omitted.

(A.25)), it can be proved that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i}{\theta+\hat{\gamma}_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\hat{P}_i\int_b^\infty e^{\theta(b-x)}e^{\hat{\gamma}_i(b-x)}dx \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_i+\hat{\gamma}_j}\frac{1}{\theta+\hat{\gamma}_j}+\sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i}{\beta_i-\theta}\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)}{\hat{\psi}^-(\beta_i)}-1\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

In addition, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}&-\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{D}_j}{\hat{\beta}_i+\hat{\gamma}_j}\frac{1}{\theta+\hat{\gamma}_j} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{C}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_i-\theta}\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\hat{D}_j\left(\frac{1}{\theta+\hat{\gamma}_j}-\frac{1}{\hat{\beta}_i+\hat{\gamma}_j}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i-\theta}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}-\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{C}_i\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)}{\hat{\beta}_i(\hat{\beta}_i-\theta)}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.36}$$

where the second equality follows from (A.6) and (A.17).

Hence, from the last two formulas, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{N}^-}\frac{\hat{P}_i}{\theta+\hat{\gamma}_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{M^+}\frac{J_i}{\beta_i-\theta}\left(\frac{\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)}{\hat{\psi}^-(\beta_i)}-1\right) \\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{H}_i}{\hat{\beta}_i-\theta}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)}-\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{M}^+}\frac{\hat{C}_i\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)}{\hat{\beta}_i(\hat{\beta}_i-\theta)}e^{\hat{\beta}_i(b-y)},\end{aligned} \tag{A.37}$$

which holds for $\theta\in\mathbb{C}$ except at $-\hat{\gamma}_1,\ldots,-\hat{\gamma}_{\hat{N}^-}$.

For given $1\le k\le n^-$, on both sides of (A.37), we take a derivative with respect to θ up to j order for $0\le j\le n_k-1$ and then let θ equal to $-\vartheta_k$. This calculation leads to (A.28) since $\frac{\partial^j}{\partial\theta^j}\left(\hat{\psi}^-(\theta)\right)_{\theta=-\vartheta_k}=0$, and the proof is completed. □

References

[1] Alili, L. and Kyprianou, A.E. (2005). Some remarks on first passage of Lévy processes, the American put and pasting principle. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **15,** 2062–2080.

[2] Asmussen, S., Avram, F. and Pistorius, M.R. (2004). Russian and American put options under exponential phase-type Lévy models. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **109,** 79–111.

[3] Bauer, D., Kling, A. and Russ, J. (2008). A universal pricing framework for guaranteed minimum benefits in variable annuities. *Astin Bull.* **38,** 621–651.

[4] Bernard, C., Hardy, M. and MacKay, A. (2014). State-dependent fees for variable annuity guarantees. *Astin Bull.* **44,** 559–585.

[5] Cai, N. (2009). On first passage times of a hyper-exponential jump diffusion process. *Oper. Res. Lett.* **37,** 127–134.

[6] Cai, N., Chen, N. and Wan, X. (2009). Pricing double-barrier options under a flexible jump diffusion model. *Oper. Res. Lett.* **37,** 163–167.

[7] Delong, Ł. (2014). Pricing and hedging of variable annuities with state-dependent fees. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **58,** 24–33.

[8] Feller, W. (1996). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Volume II, 2nd Edition, John Wiley, New York.

[9] Gerber, H.U. and Shiu, E.S.W. (1994). Option pricing by Esscher transforms. *Trans. Soc. Actuaries* **46,** 99–140.

[10] Ko, B., Shiu, E.S.W. and Wei, L. (2010). Pricing maturity guarantee with dynamic withdrawal benefit. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **47,** 216–223.

[11] Kuznetsov, A. (2012). On the distribution of exponential functionals for Lévy processes with jumps of rational transform. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **122,** 654–663.

[12] Kyprianou, A.E. (2006). *Introductory Lectures on Fluctuations of Lévy Processes with Applications*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[13] Kyprianou, A.E. and Loeffen, R.L. (2010). Refracted Lévy processes. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist.* **46,** 24–44.

[14] Kyprianou, A.E, Pardo, J.C. and Pérez, J.L. (2014). Occupation times of refracted Lévy processes. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **27,** 1292–1315.

[15] Lee, H. (2003). Pricing equity-indexed annuities with path-dependent options. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **33,** 677–690.

[16] Lewis, A.L. and Mordecki, E. (2008). Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy processes having positive jumps with rational transforms. *J. Appl. Prob.* **45,** 118–134.

[17] MacKay, A., Augustyniak, M., Bernard, C. and Hardy, M.R. (2015). Risk management of policyholder behavior in equity-linked life insurance. *J. Risk Insur.* , doi:10.1111/jori.12094.

[18] Ng, A.C.Y. and Li, J.S.H. (2011). Valuing variable annuity guarantees with the multivariate Esscher transform. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **49,** 393–400

[19] Pistorius, M.R. (2006). On maxima and ladder processes for a dense class of Lévy processes. *J. Appl. Prob.* **43,** 208–220.

[20] Renaud, J.F. (2014). On the time spent in the red by a refracted Lévy risk process. *J. Appl. Prob.* **51,** 1171–1188.

[21] Stiu, R. (2005). *Theory of Stochastic Differential Equations with Jumps and Application*, Springer, New York, 2005.

[22] Wu, L. and Zhou, J. (2015). Occupation times of refracted Levy processes with jumps having rational Laplace transform. arXiv:1501.03363v1[math.PR]

[23] Zhou, J. and Wu, L. (2015). Occupation times of refracted double exponential jump diffusion processes. *Stat. Prob. Lett.* **106,** 218–227.

[24] Zhou, J. and Wu, L. (2015). Valuing equity-linked death benefits with a threshold expense strategy. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **62,** 79–90.

[25] Zhou, J. and Wu, L. (2015). The time of deducting fees for variable annuities under the state-dependent fee structure. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **61,** 125–134.